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Dear sir (Professor Krebs)

This email concerns this 'Bovine TB Symposium 2017' that you are chairing in the month of March. Apparently, it is looking at 'new insights' into the control of bovine tuberculosis. May I enlighten you as to why there is so much confusion concerning bovine TB science - it is focusing on the wrong (environmental) model, and this is the cause of all the mindless killing. The link below contains a file concerning a letter I have recently sent to the Badger Trust and also the Welsh government in which I explain the cause of this senseless killing that is based upon scientific confusion.

[**http://www.whale.to/a/wantling\_h.html**](http://www.whale.to/a/wantling_h.html)

The main point being that academia is focusing on the wrong (environmental) bacteria. TB the disease is not related to environmental bacteria; it is related to the body that generates TB as a defensive response to a threat, such as a poison. When we understand that TB is not an infectious process, that it is a healthy immune response, we can then begin to understand this issue.  If I am right, and I doubt that I am wrong, then the Badger Trust and the Welsh or English government, including those academics who have been systematically led astray, who focus on the wrong (infectious) model, are all wrong, especially when they support biosecurity, which is a nonsense.

I have had a response from the Welsh government but it is unlikely that the Badger Trust will accept my claims. However, if I am right, then we have all made a monumental blunder. I believe that the Badger Trust knows this, that they are in denial, but they must stay with the politics because this is their bread and butter. Sadly, the same rule applies to academia, but when you do this, meaning that when you side with the infectious model, which is a myth, then scientifically you are in deep water. This means that science is basing its thoughts on theoretical evidence - not on scientific fact.

As you know, Professor David Macdonald states that the mode of transmission from badger to cow remains unknown. You have also stated this in one of your randomized badger cull reports. I praise you both for stating this fact. The problem now is that every academic that attends this symposium is consumed by theoretical (infectious) thinking to such a degree that they can no longer see the wood for the trees. This is nothing more than a collective scientific dogma.

I suggest that when you hold your symposium, that you raise the issue of my Welsh letter. This 'new insight' is actually 100 years old because Professor Bechamp once stated that TB (the disease) is an internal metamorphosis, and so quite separate to environmental bacteria. I believe that he was perfectly correct, and so this is not a ‘new insight’, it is an ‘old insight’ that will surely lead you into the light.

If Professor Bechamp was right, then a ‘skin test’ is easy to explain. Foreign matter is injected into the skin of a cow causing an immune response which explains the internal metamorphosis - the manifestation of TB bacteria from within the body. This is a defensive mechanism aimed to eat up or to wall off the foreign matter (the content of the injection) to create homeostasis. This offers no proof that this manifestation of bacteria (metamorphosis) is infectious.  Academia focuses on the wrong model because they translate this internal process into an external (infectious) language. This is not only theoretical; it is a monumental blunder in human thought. The cow is then slaughtered on a mass scale, but it is not a sick cow, it is a healthy cow that is generating a healthy immune response. So the mode of transmission is known, but in the case of a diseased animal, it is not an external bacterium - it is a poisonous threat that sets in motion this metamorphosis. May I add that a ‘diseased’ animal does not necessarily mean that it is a sick animal.

So what can you do with this ‘new insight’ that goes against all your infectious theories? This Welsh government letter is now on social media, it has spread to Canada, to Scotland, to Cheshire and Yorkshire and Somerset etc. I have sent a copy to a number of badger groups and also to Simon King and Brian May, so it is now too late - it can no longer be covered up. All you can do is to ridicule my claims, to laugh in my face, to question my sanity, which is only to be expected when a dogma is exposed. I post on a farmers forum but there is not one farmer who can accept what I say. This is because we have all been raised in a fog of infectious propaganda and misinformation for the last 100 years, and so we are all heavily conditioned to this ‘infectious’ model. This has formed our world view, which has then set in stone. The education institution has been thoroughly infected.

I fear that this matter will be not be addressed at this symposium simply because you know that the consequences are insufferable, meaning that the politics and the conflicts of interest will prevent this from happening. If and when this happens, a golden opportunity is lost, but at least academia can no longer turn around and say that they did not know.

If my claims are so, then I can assure you that your symposium based on biosecurity, strong disinfectant, isolation and vaccination will come to nothing, which I believe is inevitable. In fact, a symposium is not necessary. All that is necessary is to understand this metamorphosis and then you will no longer think in infectious terms. It is this automatic translation into infectious language, minus the evidence (mode of transmission unknown) that ends in the stagnation of science, which then leads to endless debates, seminars and symposiums that are all based on the wrong model. This is a woeful situation that has led to a war on the countryside involving the mindless slaughter of badgers and cows, both innocent until proven guilty. Guilt cannot and should not be based on a theoretical model, but this is exactly what has happened, and so shame on academia and government for committing what is no more than a crime upon humanity.

Yours faithfully

John Wantling, Rochdale

[**http://www.whale.to/a/wantling\_h.html**](http://www.whale.to/a/wantling_h.html)